

Diabetic_macular_Edema_Level _1_and_Level_2_Studies_Findin gs.pdf

by Habibah Setyawati Muhiddin

FILE	DIABETIC_MACULAR_EDEMA_LEVEL_1_AND_LEVEL_2_STUDIES_FINDI NGS.PDF (167.87K)	WORD COUNT	4378
TIME SUBMITTED	09-FEB-2021 07:11PM (UTC+0700)	CHARACTER COUNT	22921
SUBMISSION ID	1505345988		

Ranibizumab in Diabetic Macular Edema; Level 1 and Level 2 Studies Findings

Arief Kartasasmita¹, Elvioza², Habibah S Muhiddin³

¹Department of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia

²Department of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

³Department of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine Universitas Hasanudin, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION. Ranibizumab is an anti-VEGF antibody widely used in DME treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS. We conducted a literature search using the keywords “diabetes”, “diabetic retinopathy”, “diabetic macular edema”, “pathophysiology”, “VEGF”, “treatment”, “anti-VEGF”, “ranibizumab”, “lucentis” with limitation of English language. The articles published between 2006–2016 years and we reviewed level I and level II studies.

RESULTS. Review from several level I and level II intravitreal ranibizumab injection studies suggests that ranibizumab is an anti-VEGF that effectively used in DME treatment. Comparison with other anti-VEGF also suggest that ranibizumab is effective for DME patients for over 2 years treatment.

CONCLUSIONS. Ranibizumab monotherapy and/or combination with laser is safe and effective for DME patients for over 2 years treatment.

KEY WORDS: diabetic macular edema, anti VEGF, ranibizumab

Ophthalmol J 2018; Vol. 3, No. 1, 1–7

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is considered as chronic disease and diagnosed by observing the increase of blood glucose level. High blood glucose can lead to different organ damage including the eyes. [1, 2]

Many aged 20–74 years diabetes develop some form of eye disease (Diabetic Retinopathy), with potential loss of vision [1–4].

Based on 2012 study, 35% people with diabetes had some form of Diabetic Retinopathy and 7% had Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy, 7% had Diabetic Macular Edema, and 10% were affected by vision threatening stages [5].

Diabetic Macular Edema (DME) is a common complication of diabetic retinopathy which is characterized by a swelling of the macular area due to leakage of proteins through vascular walls following the development of intracellular as well as extra-

cellular hypertonic environments after an ischemic event [6, 7].

Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) is a major mediator of blood retinal barrier (BRB) breakdown and the development of macular edema. VEGF is a disulfide-bound homodimer glycoprotein. It is one of the most important regulators of vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. An inflammation-induced breakdown of the BRB is mediated by VEGF via binding to leukocytes and inducing their recruitment to the site of the inflammation. There are 5 types of VEGF which are VEGF-A, VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D and VEGF-E. The VEGF that is involved in the development of macular edema in the eyes is mainly VEGF-A [7].

Therefore, currently, anti-VEGF treatment is one of the promising treatment of visual loss in DME patients [6, 8].

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Arief Kartasasmita, 1Department of Ophthalmology Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjajaran, Indonesia, Jl. Cicendo No 4, 40171 Bandung, Indonesia, e-mail: a.kartasasmita@gmail.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a literature search on PubMed[®], Google Scholar[™], and Cochrane[®] databases using the keywords “diabetes”, “diabetic retinopathy”, “diabetic macular edema”, “pathophysiology”, “VEGF”, “treatment”, “anti-VEGF”, “ranibizumab”, “lucentis” with limitation of English language. The articles published between 2006–2016 years and we reviewed level I and level II studies.

Definition of level I studies are “high quality randomized trial or prospective study; testing of previously developed diagnostic criteria on consecutive patients; sensible costs and alternatives; values obtained from many studies with multiway sensitivity analyses; systematic review of Level I (RCT) and Level I studies” [9].

Level II studies are “less quality RCT; prospective comparative study; retrospective study; untreated controls from an RCT; less quality prospective study; development of diagnostic criteria on consecutive patients; sensible costs and alternatives; values obtained from limited studies; with multiway sensitivity analyses; systematic review of Level II studies or Level I studies with inconsistent results” [9].

RESULTS

Two anti-VEGF is approved for the DME treatment, ranibizumab (Lucentis; Genentech Inc., San Francisco, Calif., USA) and aflibercept (EYLEA; Regeneron, Tarrytown, N.Y., USA). Bevacizumab currently is not approved for diabetic macular edema but widely used as “off label”.

Ranibizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that binds all biologically active isoforms and active proteolytic fragments of VEGF-A, therefore preventing VEGF-A to bind with its receptor. [10]

A pilot study of ranibizumab injection in 10 patients showed that ranibizumab is well tolerated, has potential to maintain or improve BCVA and reduce retinal thickness in patients with center-involved clinically significant DME [11].

Several level I studies such as Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Network (DRCR.net), RESTORE Study, RISE and RIDE support the use of ranibizumab for DME patients. These studies reported that ranibizumab monotherapy or combination with laser give a better visual acuity outcomes at 1 to 2 years compared with laser or triamcinolone [12–15]. When comparing with other anti-VEGF (bevacizumab and aflibercept), one study (Protocol T) showed that in year 1 among eyes with worse

baseline VA, aflibercept showed superiority over ranibizumab and bevacizumab but at year 2, the superiority over ranibizumab was no longer identified (Table 1) [16, 17].

In The Diabetic Retinopathy Clinic Research Network (DRCR.net) evaluation of intravitreal 0.5 mg ranibizumab or 4 mg triamcinolone combined with focal/grid laser compared with focal/grid laser monotherapy for treatment of diabetic macular edema were studied.

A total of 54 study eyes were randomized of combination sham injection and prompt laser (n = 293), 0.5 mg ranibizumab and prompt laser (n = 187), 0.5 mg ranibizumab and deferred laser (n = 188), 4 mg triamcinolone and prompt laser (n = 186). Prompt laser was given 3–10 days after injection while deferred laser was given ≥ 24 weeks, intravitreal study drug or sham injection retreatments every 4 weeks based on criteria in the protocol [12].

The 1-year mean change in the visual acuity letter score from baseline was significantly greater in the combination of ranibizumab and prompt laser group and ranibizumab and deferred laser group (both +9 letters and $p < 0.05$) but not in the combination of triamcinolone and prompt laser group (+4 letters, $p = 0.31$) compared with prompt laser monotherapy group (+3 letters). Conclusion of the 2-year expanded results are similar with to 1-year results that combination of ranibizumab with prompt or deferred laser is more effective compared with prompt laser monotherapy [12, 13].

The study extended to 5-year and from 3-year follow up, the objective is to evaluate prompt versus deferred (for ≥ 24 weeks) focal/grid laser treatment in eyes treated with intravitreal 0.5 mg ranibizumab. Five-year result suggest that focal/grid laser treatment at the initiation of ranibizumab is no better than deferred laser [18, 19].

Another level I, RESTORE Study, a multicenter, randomized, double-masked, laser-controlled phase III study. Three hundred forty five DME patients randomized into 3 groups, 0.5 mg ranibizumab + sham laser (n = 116), 0.5 mg ranibizumab + active laser (n = 118), or laser + sham injection (n = 111). Ranibizumab/sham injection was given 3 months then pro re nata (PRN); laser/sham laser was given at baseline then PRN [14].

In the 12 month retreat, ranibizumab monotherapy and combination with laser were superior to laser alone in improving mean change in BCVA from baseline to month 1 through month 12 (+6.1 and +5.9 vs. +0.8; both $p < 0.0001$). The mean cen-

Table 1. Randomized study results (Level I evidence) of ranibizumab in diabetic macular edema

Author(s), Year	Objective	Study Design	No. of Eyes or Patients	Outcome Measures	Treatment Regimen	Duration of Study	Results
DRCR.net, et al. 2010, 2011 [12, 13]	IVT ranibizumab or triamcinolone combination with focal/grid laser vs with focal/grid laser monotherapy	Multicenter, randomized	854 eyes of 691 patients	BCVA and Safety	sham injection + prompt laser (n = 293), 0.5 mg ranibizumab + prompt laser (n = 187), 0.5 mg ranibizumab + deferred laser (n = 188), or 4 mg triamcinolone + prompt laser (n = 186) Prompt laser (within 3–10 days after IVT) Deferred laser (> 24 weeks after IVT)	2 years	1-year mean change in VA from baseline: ranibizumab + prompt laser group and ranibizumab + deferred laser group (both +9 letters, p < 0.001); triamcinolone + prompt laser group (+4 letters, p = 0.3) compared with the sham + prompt laser group (+3 letters). At 2-year, compared with sham + prompt laser group, mean change in VA from baseline was greater in ranibizumab + prompt laser group (+3.7 letters) and in ranibizumab + deferred laser group (+5.8 letters), worsen in triamcinolone combination with prompt laser group (-1.5 letters). No systemic events difference between study treatment. Endophthalmitis related with injection were 0.8% in ranibizumab groups. Elevated intraocular pressure and cataract surgery more frequent in the triamcinolone + prompt laser group.
Mitchell P, et al. 2011 (RESTORE) [14]	IVT ranibizumab monotherapy or combined with laser over laser alone	Multicenter, randomized, double-masked, laser-controlled	345 patients	BCVA	IVT ranibizumab + sham laser (n = 116), IVT ranibizumab + laser (n = 118), or sham injections + laser (n = 111) IVT ranibizumab/sham injection: 3 initial consecutive monthly then prn	12 months	Mean change BCVA from baseline to month 1 through month 12 (+6.1 and +5.9 vs. +0.8; both P < 0.0001)
Nguyen QD, et al. 2012 (RISE) and RIDE) [15]	IVT ranibizumab	Multicenter, double-masked, sham injection-controlled, randomized	RISE = 377 patients RIDE = 382 patients	BCVA	IVT ranibizumab (0.5 or 0.3 mg) or sham injections All monthly injection and with rescue laser per protocol criteria	24 months	In RISE, 18.1% of 0.3 mg patients and 39.2% of 0.5 mg ranibizumab (both p < 0.05). In RIDE, 12.3% of sham patients gained ≥ 3 lines vs. 33.6% of 0.3 mg patients and 45.7% of 0.5 mg ranibizumab (both p < 0.0001)

Table 1 count. Randomized study results (Level I evidence) of ranibizumab in diabetic macular edema

Author(s), Year	Objective	Study Design	No. of Eyes or Patients	Outcome Measures	Treatment Regimen	Duration of Study	Results
DRCR.net, 2015 [16] and Wells JA, et al. 2016 [17]	IVT aflibercept, bevacizumab and ranibizumab	Multicenter, randomized	660 patients	VA at year 1 and 2, adverse event and retreatment frequency at year 2	IVT Aflibercept 2.0 mg (n = 224), bevacizumab 1.25 mg (n = 218), or ranibizumab 0.3 mg (n = 218)	2 years	Mean VA improvement from baseline to 1 year were 13.3 with aflibercept, 9.7 with bevacizumab, and 11.2 with ranibizumab (p < 0.05 for aflibercept vs. bevacizumab and for aflibercept vs. ranibizumab) At year-2, mean VA improved by +12.8 for aflibercept, +10.0 for bevacizumab, and +12.3 letters for ranibizumab APTC events occurred higher in ranibizumab (12%) compared with aflibercept (5%) or bevacizumab (8%) Median numbers of injections for aflibercept, bevacizumab, and ranibizumab were 5, 6, and 6 in year 2, respectively

DRCR.net — diabetic research clinical research network; IVT — intravitreal injection; DME — diabetic macular edema; CRT — central retinal thickness; BCVA — best corrected visual acuity; VA — visual acuity; am — pro.re.na.tar; APTC — Anti-Proliferative Cell Collaboration

tral retinal thickness was significantly reduced from baseline with ranibizumab monotherapy (-118.7 μm) and ranibizumab + laser combination (-128.3 μm) versus laser monotherapy (-61.3 μm ; both p < 0.001) [14].

All patients eligible to receive 0.5 mg ranibizumab PRN from month 12. RESTORE study included in extension study. Overall, 208 patients completed extension study. Individualized ranibizumab treatment during the extension study could maintain the BCVA and central retinal thickness (CRT) observed at month 12 over the 2-year extension study (+8.0 letters, -142.1 μm [prior ranibizumab] and +6.7 letters, -145.9 μm [prior ranibizumab + laser] from baseline at month 36). Mean injection of 6.8 injections in prior ranibizumab group and 6.0 injections in prior ranibizumab + laser group. No new safety concern in ranibizumab group were identified [20, 21].

Two parallel, methodologically identical, phase III, multicenter, double-masked, sham injection-controlled, randomized studies, RISE and RIDE. Ranibizumab was given monthly and in the third year, eligible patients sham patients cross-over to 0.5 mg ranibizumab while still masked [15].

In RISE, 377 patients were randomized (sham n = 127, 0.3 mg ranibizumab n = 125, 0.5 mg ranibizumab n = 125). At 24 months, 18.1% of sham patients gained ≥ 3 lines versus 44.8% of 0.3 mg and 39.2% of 0.5 mg ranibizumab patients (both p < 0.05) [15].

Visual acuity (VA) outcomes in ranibizumab group were consistent from month 24 through month 36. Proportions of patients who gained ≥ 3 lines from baseline at month 36 in the sham/0.5 mg, 0.3 mg, and 0.5 mg ranibizumab groups were 22.0%, 51.2%, and 41.6%, respectively [41].

In RIDE, 382 patients were randomized (sham n = 130, 0.3 mg n = 125, 0.5 mg n = 127). At 24 months, ranibizumab-treated patients gained ≥ 3 lines: 12.3% of sham patients versus 33.6% of 0.3-mg and 45.7% of 0.5-mg ranibizumab (both p < 0.0001) [15].

Visual acuity (VA) outcomes in ranibizumab group were consistent at month 24 through month 36. Proportions of patients who gained ≥ 3 lines from baseline at month 36 in the sham/0.5 mg, 0.3 mg, and 0.5 mg ranibizumab groups were 19.3%, 36.8%, and 40.2%, respectively [22].

A "head to head" study, multicenter trial comparing ranibizumab, repackaged bevacizumab, and

Table 2. Randomized study results (Level II evidence) of ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema

Author(s), Year	Objective	Study Design	No. of Eyes or Patients	Outcomes Measures	Treatment Regimen	Duration of Study	Results
Nguyen QD, et al. 2009 (READ-2) [23]	IVT ranibizumab vs focal/grid laser vs. combination	Prospective, randomized, interventional, multicenter	126 patients	BCVA	Group 1: 0.5 mg of ranibizumab at baseline, months 1, 3, and 5 (n = 42); Group 2: focal/grid laser photocoagulation at baseline and month 3 (n = 42); Group 3: a combination of 0.5 mg of ranibizumab and focal/grid laser at baseline and month 3 (n = 42)	6 months	Mean BCVA was significantly greater in group 1 vs group 2 (+7.24 letters vs -0.43 letters; p=0.01); group 3 (+3.8 letters) not statistically different from the 2 groups
Massin P, 2010 (RESOLVE) [25]	IVT ranibizumab	Multicenter, sham controlled, double-masked study	151 patients	CRT and BCVA	IVT Ranibizumab (0.3 mg or 0.5 mg; n = 51 each) or sham (n = 49)	12 months	Improvement of BCVA at month 12 from baseline were +10.3 letters in ranibizumab and -1.4 letters in sham (p < 0.0001) Mean CRT reduction were -194.2 μm in ranibizumab and -48.4 μm in sham (p < 0.0001)

IVT — intravitreal injection; DME — diabetic macular edema; CRT — central retinal thickness; BCVA — best corrected visual acuity; prn — pro re nata

afibercept in United States. Six hundred and sixty adults with DME was randomized to receive intravitreal injection 2.0 mg aflibercept (n = 224), 1.25 mg bevacizumab (n = 218), or 0.3 ranibizumab (n = 218) every 4 weeks based on criteria the protocol. If DME persisted after 6 months, focal/grid laser photocoagulation could be added [16, 17].

Improvement in mean VA from baseline to 1 year were 13.3 letters with aflibercept, 9.7 letters with bevacizumab, and 11.2 letters with ranibizumab. The difference in the improvement between 3 anti-VEGF was not clinically meaningful because was driven by the eyes with worse visual acuity at baseline (p < 0.001 for interaction) [16].

On the baseline of visual acuity was 78 to letters (equivalent to approximately 20/32 to 20/40) (51% participants), the mean improvement was 8.0 letters with aflibercept, 7.5 letters with bevacizumab, and 8.3 letters with ranibizumab (p > 0.50 for each pairwise comparison).

There were no significant differences among the study groups in the rates of serious adverse events [16].

In 2-year, median number of injection for aflibercept, bevacizumab, and ranibizumab were 5, 6, and 6, respectively. While the median number over 2 years were 15, 16, and 15 in the aflibercept, bevacizumab, and ranibizumab groups, respectively. Forty one percent in aflibercept groups, 64% in bevacizumab group, and 52% in ranibizumab groups was administered focal/grid laser photocoagulation (aflibercept vs either ranibizumab or bevacizumab and bevacizumab vs ranibizumab all p < 0.05) [17].

Mean VA improvement at 2 years were 12.8, 10.0, and 12.3 letters in aflibercept, bevacizumab, and ranibizumab respectively. The study concluded only aflibercept, bevacizumab, and ranibizumab showed improvement from baseline to 2 years but also a decreased number of injections in year 2. Aflibercept had superior VA outcome compared with bevacizumab in 2-year but the superiority compared with ranibizumab that is shown in year 1 is no longer shown in year 2 [17].

The regimen used in the “head to head” trials is not reflected the clinical practice in Indonesia where ranibizumab available and approved by Indonesian health authority is 0.5 mg.

RESOLVE and READ-2 studies are 2 level II studies reported ranibizumab monotherapy or combination improved BCVA until 1 to 2 years compared with no treatment or with laser [23-25]. One

level II study, READ-2, showed the mean decrease of CRT is significant compared sham patients. [25]

READ-2 conducted in multicenter, 126 DME patients randomized into three groups. Group 1 receive 0.5 ranibizumab at baseline, month 1, month 3, and month 5 (n = 42); group 2 received focal/grid laser photocoagulation at baseline and month 3 pro re nata (n = 42), or group 3 is combination of 0.5 mg ranibizumab and focal/grid laser at baseline and month 3 (n = 42) [12, 13].

The primary endpoint month 6, the mean change of BCVA was significantly greater in group 1 compared with group 2 (+7.2 vs. -0.43 letters, $p = 0.01$), and group 3 (+3.8 letters) was not statistically different from the other 2 groups. Improvement of ≥ 3 lines occurred in 22% group 1, 0% in group 2 ($p = 0.002$), and 8% in group 3 [24].

After 6 months most patients were continued with ranibizumab, 33 patients in group 1, 34 patients in group 2, and 34 patients in group 3 remained in the study through 24 months, with mean improvement in BCVA 7.7, 5.1, and 6.8 letters at month 24. Patients who gained ≥ 3 lines was 24%, 18%, and 26% at month 24 [24].

In RESOLVE study, phase II clinical trial, 151 patients was randomized to ranibizumab 0.3 mg (n = 51), ranibizumab 0.5 mg (n = 51), or sham treatment (n = 49) three monthly injection. Thereafter, treatment could be stopped or reinitiated with an opportunity for repeat laser photocoagulation (based on protocol). After month 1, the ranibizumab dosage (or sham) could be doubled to 0.6 mg (for ranibizumab 0.3 mg) and 1.0 mg (for ranibizumab 0.5 mg) if met indicated by specific study criteria.

At month 12, BCVA improvement from baseline were +10.3 letters in ranibizumab group and decline in sham group by -1.4 letters ($p < 0.0001$). Mean CRT reduction is -194.2 μm with ranibizumab and -48.4 μm with sham ($p < 0.0001$). In ranibizumab group, 60.8% gain ≥ 10 letters from baseline and 18.4% in sham group ($p < 0.0001$) [25].

CONCLUSIONS

Review from several level I and level II intravitreal ranibizumab injection studies suggests that ranibizumab alone and/or combination with laser is safe and effective for DME patients for over 2 years treatment.

Comparison with other anti-VEGF also suggest that ranibizumab is effective for DME patients for

over 2 years treatment. Future research on the safety and effective ranibizumab 0.5 mg compared with other anti-VEGF is required.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thanks to the Universitas Padjajaran, Universitas Indonesia, and Universitas Hasanudin Central Library for providing the literature access.

Conflict of interest:

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Cho NH, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas, International Diabetes Federation (IDF), Brussels, Belgium, 7th edition. 2015.
2. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2014; 37(suppl1): 81–90.
3. Cheung N, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy. *Lancet*. 2010; 376: 124–36.
4. Diabetic Retinopathy Preferred Practice Pattern® (“PPP”) guidelines. Updated 2016. <https://www.aao.org/preferred-practice-pattern/diabetic-retinopathy-ppp-updated-2016>. (Accessed on 14th Aug).
5. Yau JWY, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, et al. Meta-Analysis for Eye Disease (META-EYE) Study Group. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2012; 35(3): 556–564. doi: 10.2337/dc11-1909, indexed in Pubmed: 22301125.
6. Lang GE. Diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmologica*. 2012; 227 Suppl 1: 21–29. doi: 10.1159/000337156, indexed in Pubmed: 22517122.
7. Scholl S, Augustin A, Loewenstein A, et al. Pathophysiology of macular edema. *Ophthalmologica*. 2010; 224 Suppl 1: 8–15. doi: 10.1159/000315155, indexed in Pubmed: 20714176.
8. Nguyen OD, Tatlipinar S, Shah SM, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor is a critical stimulus for diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2006; 142(6): 961–969. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2006.06.068, indexed in Pubmed: 17046701.
9. https://www.elsevier.com/_data/promis_misc/623124los.pdf. (Accessed on 28 Aug 2017).
10. Ferrara N, Damico L, Shams N, et al. Development of ranibizumab, an anti-vascular endothelial growth factor antigen binding fragment, as therapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Retina*. 2006; 26(8): 859–870. doi: 10.1097/01.iae.0000242842.14624.e7, indexed in Pubmed: 17031284.
11. Chun DW, Heier JS, Topping TM, et al. A pilot study of multiple intravitreal injections of ranibizumab in patients with center-involving clinically significant diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2006; 113(10): 1706–1712. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.04.033, indexed in Pubmed: 17011952.
12. EDWARDS P. Randomized trial evaluating ranibizumab plus prompt or deferred laser or triamcinolone plus prompt laser for diabetic macular edema. *Acta Ophthalmologica*. 2010; 88: 0–0. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2010.4111.x.
13. Elman MJ, Bressler NM, Qin H, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Expanded 2-year follow-up of ranibizumab plus prompt or deferred laser or triamcinolone plus prompt laser for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2011; 118(4): 609–614. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.12.033, indexed in Pubmed: 21459214.
14. Sivaprasad S, Regnier SA, Fajnkuchen F, et al. RESTORE study group. The RESTORE study: ranibizumab monotherapy or combined with laser versus laser monotherapy for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2011; 118(4): 615–625. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.01.031, indexed in Pubmed: 21459215.
15. Nguyen QD, Brown DM, Marcus DM, et al. RISE and RIDE Research Group. Ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema: results from 2 phase III randomized trials: RISE and RIDE. *Ophthalmology*. 2012; 119(4): 789–801. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.12.039, indexed in Pubmed: 22330964.
16. Wells JA, Glassman AR, Ayala AR, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Aflibercept, bevacizumab, or ranibi-

- zumab for diabetic macular edema. *N Engl J Med*. 2015; 372(13): 1193–1203, doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1414264](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1414264), indexed in Pubmed: 25692915.
17. Wells J, Glassman A, Ayala A, et al. Aflibercept, Bevacizumab, or Ranibizumab for Diabetic Macular Edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2016; 123(6): 1351–1359, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.02.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.02.022).
 18. Elman MJ, Qin H, Aiello LP, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Intravitreal ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema with prompt versus deferred laser treatment: three-year randomized trial results. *Ophthalmology*. 2012; 119(11): 2312–2318, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.08.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.08.022), indexed in Pubmed: 22999634.
 19. Elman MJ, Ayala A, Bressler NM, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Intravitreal Ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema with prompt versus deferred laser treatment: 5-year randomized trial results. *Ophthalmology*. 2015; 122(2): 375–381, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.08.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.08.047), indexed in Pubmed: 25439614.
 20. Lang GE, Berta A, Eldem BM, et al. RESTORE Extension Study Group. Two-year safety and efficacy of ranibizumab 0.5 mg in diabetic macular edema: interim analysis of the RESTORE extension study. *Ophthalmology*. 2013; 120(10): 2004–2012, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.02.019), indexed in Pubmed: 23725735.
 21. Schmidt-Erfurth U, Lang GE, Holz FG, et al. RESTORE Extension Study Group. Three-year outcomes of individualized ranibizumab treatment in patients with diabetic macular edema: the RESTORE extension study. *Ophthalmology*. 2014; 121(5): 1045–1053, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.11.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.11.041), indexed in Pubmed: 24491642.
 22. Brown D, Nguyen Q, Marcus D, et al. Long-term Outcomes of Ranibizumab Therapy for Diabetic Macular Edema: The 36-Month Results from Two Phase III Trials. *Ophthalmology*. 2013; 120(10): 2013–2022, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.02.034).
 23. Massin P, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Ranibizumab in Diabetic Macular Edema (RESOLVE Study). *Diabetes Care*. 2010; 33: 2399–2405.
 24. Nguyen QD, Shah SM, Heier JS, et al. READ-2 Study Group. Primary End Point (Six Months) Results of the Ranibizumab for Edema of the macula in diabetes (READ-2) study. *Ophthalmology*. 2009; 116(11): 2175–2178.e1, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2009.04.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2009.04.023), indexed in Pubmed: 19700194.
 25. Nguyen QD, Shah SM, Khwaja AA, et al. READ-2 Study Group. Two-year outcomes of the ranibizumab for edema of the macula in diabetes (READ-2) study. *Ophthalmology*. 2010; 117(11): 2146–2151, doi: [10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.08.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.08.016), indexed in Pubmed: 20855114.

Diabetic_macular_Edema_Level_1_and_Level_2_Studies_Findin

ORIGINALITY REPORT

% **42**

SIMILARITY INDEX

% **29**

INTERNET SOURCES

% **41**

PUBLICATIONS

% **16**

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** docplayer.net
Internet Source % **2**
- 2** care.diabetesjournals.org
Internet Source % **2**
- 3** www.maculardegeneration.com.au
Internet Source % **2**
- 4** Francesco Bandello, Maria Vittoria Cicinelli, Maurizio Battaglia Parodi. "Intravitreal Ranibizumab for Diabetic Macular Oedema", European Ophthalmic Review, 2015
Publication % **2**
- 5** www.read3.net
Internet Source % **2**
- 6** Submitted to The University of Manchester
Student Paper % **1**
- 7** Gabriele E. Lang, András Berta, Bora M. Eldem, Christian Simader et al. "Two-Year Safety and Efficacy of Ranibizumab 0.5 mg in Diabetic Macular Edema", Ophthalmology, 2013 % **1**

8

Gabriele E. Lang. "Diabetic Macular Edema",
Ophthalmologica, 2012

Publication

% 1

9

www.aetna.com

Internet Source

% 1

10

John A. Wells, Adam R. Glassman, Allison R.
Ayala, Lee M. Jampol et al. "Aflibercept,
Bevacizumab, or Ranibizumab for Diabetic
Macular Edema", Ophthalmology, 2016

Publication

% 1

11

Submitted to University of Newcastle upon Tyne

Student Paper

% 1

12

Visual Dysfunction in Diabetes, 2012.

Publication

% 1

13

Francisco Rosa Stefanini, Emmerson Badaró,
Paulo Falabella, Michael Koss, Michel Eid
Farah, Maurício Maia. "Anti-VEGF for the
Management of Diabetic Macular Edema",
Journal of Immunology Research, 2014

Publication

% 1

14

Submitted to King's College

Student Paper

% 1

15

Kenichi Kimoto, Toshiaki Kubota. "Anti-VEGF
Agents for Ocular Angiogenesis and Vascular
Permeability", Journal of Ophthalmology, 2012

% 1

16 Wei-Chun Chan, Shawn H. Tsai, Ai-Ching Wu, Lee-Jen Chen, Chi-Chun Lai. "Current Treatments of Diabetic Macular Edema", International Journal of Gerontology, 2011
Publication % 1

17 linknovate.com
Internet Source % 1

18 www.abstractboard.com
Internet Source % 1

19 Submitted to iHeed
Student Paper % 1

20 Pilar Calvo, Beatriz Abadia, Antonio Ferreras, Oscar Ruiz-Moreno, Guayente Verdes, Luis E. Pablo. "Diabetic Macular Edema: Options for Adjunct Therapy", Drugs, 2015
Publication % 1

21 aaovolunteer.org
Internet Source % 1

22 www.nejm.org
Internet Source % 1

23 Submitted to University of St Andrews
Student Paper % 1

24 www.diabetesincontrol.com
Internet Source % 1

25

Intravitreal Steroids, 2015.

Publication

% 1

26

www.yumpu.com

Internet Source

% 1

27

Michael Stewart. "Critical appraisal of ranibizumab in the treatment of diabetic macular edema", *Clinical Ophthalmology*, 2013

Publication

<% 1

28

Won June Lee, Hee Yoon Cho. "Possible Short-term Changes of Aqueous Inflammatory Cytokines After Intravitreal Bevacizumab for Diabetic Macular Edema", *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2012

Publication

<% 1

29

Krispel, Claudia. "Ranibizumab in diabetic macular edema", *World Journal of Diabetes*, 2013.

Publication

<% 1

30

Submitted to University College London

Student Paper

<% 1

31

www.whig.com

Internet Source

<% 1

32

Damian A. Czepita, Aleksandra Kładna. "History of myopia research in Szczecin after World War II", *Ophthalmology Journal*, 2018

Publication

<% 1

33

Karishma Habbu, Rishi P. Singh. "Anti-VEGF in diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema", Expert Review of Ophthalmology, 2016
Publication

<% 1

34

www.capbluecross.com
Internet Source

<% 1

35

Suñer, Ivan J., Neil M. Bressler, Rohit Varma, Paul Lee, Chantal M. Dolan, James Ward, Shoshana Colman, and Roman G. Rubio. "Reading Speed Improvements in Retinal Vein Occlusion After Ranibizumab Treatment", JAMA Ophthalmology, 2013.
Publication

<% 1

36

Submitted to National University of Ireland, Galway
Student Paper

<% 1

37

"Diabetes Complications, Comorbidities and Related Disorders", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020
Publication

<% 1

38

s3.amazonaws.com
Internet Source

<% 1

39

Hanan Al Shamsi, Nicola G Ghazi. "Diabetic macular edema: new trends in management", Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology, 2014
Publication

<% 1

- | | | |
|----|---|------|
| 40 | onlinelibrary.wiley.com
Internet Source | <% 1 |
| 41 | Marianeli Rodriguez, Philip Storey, Diana V. Do. "Anti-VEGF Therapy for the Management of Diabetic Macular Edema", Current Ophthalmology Reports, 2013
Publication | <% 1 |
| 42 | Submitted to Aston University
Student Paper | <% 1 |
| 43 | www.ijoy.org.in
Internet Source | <% 1 |
| 44 | journals.viamedica.pl
Internet Source | <% 1 |
| 45 | www.termedia.pl
Internet Source | <% 1 |
| 46 | www.ajmc.com
Internet Source | <% 1 |
| 47 | Dinah Zur, Anat Loewenstein. "Combination Therapy for Diabetic Macular Edema", Journal of Ophthalmology, 2012
Publication | <% 1 |
| 48 | www.vrssurgery.com
Internet Source | <% 1 |
| 49 | Francesco Bandello, Maurizio Battaglia Parodi, | <% 1 |

Paolo Lanzetta, Anat Loewenstein et al.
"Diabetic Macular Edema", S. Karger AG, 2017

Publication

50

Won Ki Lee, Sohee Jeon. "Effect of intravitreal bevacizumab on diabetic macular edema with hard exudates", Clinical Ophthalmology, 2014

Publication

51

" 20 Meeting of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes Eye Complications Study Group (EASDec) ", European Journal of Ophthalmology, 2018

Publication

52

test.dovepress.com

Internet Source

53

retinatoday.com

Internet Source

54

www.teses.usp.br

Internet Source

55

Comyn, Oliver, Sue L. Lightman, and Philip G. Hykin. "Corticosteroid intravitreal implants vs. ranibizumab for the treatment of vitreoretinal disease :", Current Opinion in Ophthalmology, 2013.

Publication

56

Meng-Yu Wu, Giou-Teng Yiang, Tzu-Ting Lai, Chia-Jung Li. "The Oxidative Stress and

<% 1

<% 1

<% 1

<% 1

<% 1

<% 1

<% 1

Mitochondrial Dysfunction during the Pathogenesis of Diabetic Retinopathy", Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity, 2018

Publication

57

Francesco Bandello, Rosangela Lattanzio, Ilaria Zucchiatti, Claudia Del Turco. "Pathophysiology and treatment of diabetic retinopathy", Acta Diabetologica, 2013

Publication

<% 1

58

Fengjiao Li, Le Zhang, Yanling Wang, Wenwen Xu, Wanzhen Jiao, Aihua Ma, Bojun Zhao. "One-Year Outcome of Conbercept Therapy for Diabetic Macular Edema", Current Eye Research, 2017

Publication

<% 1

59

www.authorstream.com

Internet Source

<% 1

60

archophth.jamanetwork.com

Internet Source

<% 1

61

P. Massin, F. Bandello, J. G. Garweg, L. L. Hansen et al. "Safety and Efficacy of Ranibizumab in Diabetic Macular Edema (RESOLVE Study): A 12-month, randomized, controlled, double-masked, multicenter phase II study", Diabetes Care, 2010

Publication

<% 1

62

Internet Source

<% 1

63

Irini P. Chatziralli, Adil Jaulim, Vasileios G. Peponis, Panagiotis G. Mitropoulos, Marilita M. Moschos. "Branch Retinal Vein Occlusion: Treatment Modalities: An Update of the Literature", Seminars in Ophthalmology, 2013

Publication

<% 1

64

"Clinical Strategies in the Management of Diabetic Retinopathy", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

Publication

<% 1

65

Anna Matysik-Woźniak, Marek Rękas, Robert Rejdak. "Jak skutecznie leczyć wysiękową postać zwyrodnienia plamki związanego z wiekiem według schematu PRN? Rola biomarkerów widocznych w obrazach optycznej koherentnej tomografii", Ophthalmology Journal, 2018

Publication

<% 1

66

theophthalmologyreport.com

Internet Source

<% 1

67

www.pbm.va.gov

Internet Source

<% 1

68

"Choroidal Neovascularization", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020

<% 1

69

docksci.com

Internet Source

<% 1

70

F Bandello. "New approaches for the treatment of diabetic macular oedema: recommendations by an expert panel", *Eye*, 01/13/2012

Publication

<% 1

71

Kendra Klein, Michelle C. Liang. "Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Therapy for Diabetic Eye Disease", Elsevier BV, 2018

Publication

<% 1

72

apps.elsevier.es

Internet Source

<% 1

73

Virgili, Gianni, Massimo Brunetti, Gianni Virgili, Mariacristina Parravano, and Francesca Menchini. "Antiangiogenic therapy with anti-vascular endothelial growth factor modalities for diabetic macular oedema", *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews Reviews*, 2012.

Publication

<% 1

74

Katharina Brehmer, Pia Rutrecht, Simon Mißlinger, Anselm Jünemann, Folkert Horn, Jan Kremers, Bettina Hohberger. "25 Hz adaptation: Influence on recovery time in glaucoma", *Ophthalmology Journal*, 2016

Publication

<% 1

75 Michael W. Stewart. "Diabetic Retinopathy", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 <% 1
Publication

76 Paul Mitchell, Francesco Bandello, Ursula Schmidt-Erfurth, Gabriele E. Lang et al. "The RESTORE Study", Ophthalmology, 2011 <% 1
Publication

77 M. Al-Latayfeh, P. S. Silva, J. K. Sun, L. P. Aiello. "Antiangiogenic Therapy for Ischemic Retinopathies", Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine, 2012 <% 1
Publication

78 "Cystoid Macular Edema", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 <% 1
Publication

79 Lally, David R., Chirag P. Shah, and Jeffrey S. Heier. "Vascular endothelial growth factor and diabetic macular edema", Survey of Ophthalmology, 2016. <% 1
Publication

80 Shani Golan. "New developments in the treatment of diabetic macular edema: latest clinical evidence", Clinical Investigation, 01/2012 <% 1
Publication

81 Chun, D.W.. "A Pilot Study of Multiple <% 1

Intravitreal Injections of Ranibizumab in Patients with Center-Involving Clinically Significant Diabetic Macular Edema", Ophthalmology, 200610

Publication

82

Michael W. Stewart. "A Review of Ranibizumab for the Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy", Ophthalmology and Therapy, 2017

Publication

<% 1

83

"Instructions for Authors", The journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery, 200811/12

Publication

<% 1

84

Ian Pearce, Clare Bailey, Emily Fletcher, Faruque Ghanchi, Christina Rennie, Cynthia Santiago, Jackie Napier, Yit Yang. "Translating evidence into practice: recommendations by a UK expert panel on the use of aflibercept in diabetic macular oedema", Eye, 2019

Publication

<% 1

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES

< 5 WORDS